

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

No

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/955,428	09/19/2001	Ulrich Knaack	4086-0168P	9460	
2292 7:	590 08/14/2002				
	VART KOLASCH &	EXAMINER			
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747		TSIDULKO, MARK		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2875	•	
			DATE MAILED: 08/14/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)			
Office Antion Community	09/955,428	KNAACK ET AL.	MC		
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mark Tsidulko	2875			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>				
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-fin	al.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disp sition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application		tion			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	wii itotti considera	tion.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) 1,4 and 8 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) 2.3,5-7 and 9-12 is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 Se<i>ptember 2001</i></u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) 🔀 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been recei	ved.			
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been recei	ved in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest</li> </ul>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<b>1</b> 5) □	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 09/955,428

Art Unit: 2875

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to because English alphabetical not used: letter "E" at the end of word Figure is missed (see Figures 1-7). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: light decoupling element (see Figure 1, reference sign 12) is not shown.

Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Objections

3. Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities: light in-coupling surface in indicated with reference sign "5" on the drawings, but with reference sign "3" in a claim 5.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled

Application/Control Number: 09/955,428

Art Unit: 2875

the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Glienicke (U.S.6,356,394).

- 4. Referring to Claim 1 Glienicke discloses (Figs.1,2) a light conductor element having a light incoupling element [F] arranged between two light out-coupling elements, a light source [6] associated with a light in-coupling surface of the light in-coupling element, two light-diverting surfaces of the light in-coupling element each being respectively associated with on of the light out-coupling elements. The light-diverting surfaces are curved outwardly and have a common focus position for the light source.
- 5. Referring to Claim 8 Glienicke discloses a light-diverting surfaces extend parabolically, with rotation axes of the paraboloids extending into respective out-coupling elements.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Glienicke (U.S.6,356,394).

  Glienicke discloses (Fig.1) a light conductor element having a light in-coupling element.

It has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2, 3, 5-7, 9-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 7. Referring to Claim 2 prior art of record does not show a ring-shaped light conductor element being made of one piece and with two light out-coupling elements being formed from portion of the ring-shaped light conductor element that transmitting into one another because of the ring-shaped structure of the conductor element.
- 8. Claim 3 is objected as claim depended on Claim 2.
- 9. Referring to Claim 5 prior art of record does not show light conductor element wherein a smallest spacing of the light in-coupling surface from the light-diverting surfaces is a maximum of one and a half times a structural depth of the light out-coupling element.
- 10. Claim 6 is objected as claim depended on Claim 5.
- 11. Referring to Claim 7 prior art of record does not show a light conductor element wherein the light out-coupling elements facing a reflection surface of the reflector.
- 12. Referring to Claim 9 prior art of record does not show light conductor element wherein the light-diverting surfaces extend elliptically and two focus positions lying on a line that extends into the respective our-coupling elements.

Application/Control Number: 09/955,428

✓ Art Unit: 2875

13. Referring to Claim 10 prior art of record does not show light conductor element having at least

Page 5

three light-diverting surfaces.

14. Referring to Claim 11 prior art of record does not show a light-diverting surface having at least

one light-decoupling element.

15. Referring to Claim 12 prior art of record does not show light conductor element wherein at least

one of the light-diverting surfaces of the light in-coupling elements is offset from an optical axis of the

light cource.

**Conclusion** 

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Mark Tsidulko whose telephone number is (703)308-1326. The examiner can

normally be reached on 8 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (703) 305-4939. The fax phone numbers for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and

(703)308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should

be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

M.T.

August 8, 2002

ALAN CARIASO PRIM/IRY EXAMINER